Family Name	Mountney
Given Name	Lee
Person ID	1287545
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287545_Image2.jpeg PFE1287545_Image4.jpeg PFE1287545_Image3.jpeg PFE1287545_Image5.png PFE1287545_Image1.png
Family Name	Mountney
Given Name	Lee
Person ID	1287545
Title	JPA 30: Ashton Moss West
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287545_Image1.png PFE1287545_Image5.png PFE1287545_Image3.jpeg PFE1287545_Image4.jpeg PFE1287545_Image2.jpeg
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	I believe that exceptional circumstances have not been shown to warrant the loss of greenbelt
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters	Allocation should be removed as its greenbelt.

you have identified above.	
Family Name	Mountney
Given Name	Lee
Person ID	1287545
Title	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287545_Image2.jpeg PFE1287545_Image4.jpeg PFE1287545_Image3.jpeg PFE1287545_Image5.png PFE1287545_Image1.png
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	covid. It had been a great help for people to exercise on their doorsteps and been invaluable for mental and physical wellbeing. Its used all year round. Ive attached photo's in winter to show that people use it in all weather and
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	This allocation should remain greenbelt and be taken out of the plan!
Family Name	Mountney
Given Name	Lee
Person ID	1287545
Title	JPA 32: South of Hyde
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287545_Image1.png PFE1287545_Image5.png

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	PFE1287545_Image3.jpeg
	PFE1287545_Image4.jpeg PFE1287545_Image2.jpeg
Soundness - Positively	Unsound
prepared?	Orisound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Again this area is greenbelt and should stay as such.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	This allocation should be removed from the plan and remain greenbelt.
Family Name	Mountney
Given Name	Lee
Person ID	1287545
Title	Tameside - Green Belt Additions
Туре	Web
Include files	PFE1287545_Image2.jpeg PFE1287545_Image4.jpeg PFE1287545_Image3.jpeg PFE1287545_Image5.png PFE1287545_Image1.png
GBA Tameside - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below	Tameside GBA34 Cowbury Green, Long Row, Carrbrook, Stalybridge

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Unsound
Unsound
Unsound
Unsound
No
No
Swapping and changing greenbelt is not why greenbelt was brought in . Its not there to swap and change as it suits. This particular allocation was subject to a chemical explosion back in the 80"s i believe $\ \square$ but is now being proposed as "new"greenbelt $\ \square$ when its highly unlikely to be built in anyway due to the contamination of the land!! Why are these pockets of land not being built on if they are not greenbelt? Because in some way shape or form they are probably not suitable and we are being fobbed off with "new"greenbelt as a ploy. How does "new"greenbelt in Carrbrook help the people and wildlife of Hyde $\ \square$ it doesn"t.
This should be removed and current greenbelt allocations remain the same!